

REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the office action dated January 11, 2006.

Claims 1-8, 10-14 and 16-19 were pending in the application. Claims 1, 6, 8, 10, 14 and 16-19 were rejected. Claims 2-5 and 7 were allowed but objected to by the Examiner.

By way of this amendment, the Applicant has amended Claims 1, 3-5 and 10. Claims 14 and 16-19 have been canceled. Claims 6-8 and 11-13 remain unchanged.

Accordingly, Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-13 are currently pending.

I. **OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

Claim 10 was objected to because the term "said receiver portion" should have read "said receiver end". The Applicant has amended claim 10 in accordance with the required correction identified in the Office Action. Accordingly, withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

II. **REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1, 6, 8, 10, 14, 18 and 19 UNDER 35 USC 102**

Claims 1, 6, 8, 10, 14, 18 and 19 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b), as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,413,004 (Lin).

Claim 1 has been amended to now include the allowable subject matter from originally allowable Claim 2. The Applicant believes that the inclusion of this subject matter renders this rejection with respect to Claim 1 moot.

Further, claims 6, 8 and 10 depend from now allowable Claim 1 and are also believed to be in condition for allowance.

Finally, Claims 14, 18 and 19 have been canceled.

Based on the above, this rejection is no longer believed to be applicable. Reconsideration, and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully solicited.

III. **REJECTION OF CLAIMS 11 and 16 UNDER 35 USC 103**

Claims 11 and 16 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,413,004 (Lin).

Serial No. 10/687,182

As was stated above, Claim 1 has been amended to now include the allowable subject matter from originally allowable Claim 2. Since Claim 11 depends from now allowable Claim 1 it also is believed to be allowable.

Claim 16 has been canceled.

Based on the above, this rejection is no longer believed to be applicable. Reconsideration, and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully solicited.

IV. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 12, 13 and 17 UNDER 35 USC 103

Claims 12, 13 and 17 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,413,004 (Lin) in view of US Patent No. 5,702,090 (Edgman).

As was stated above, Claim 1 has been amended to now include the allowable subject matter from originally allowable Claim 2. Since Claims 12 and 13 depend from now allowable Claim 1 they also are believed to be allowable.

Claim 17 has been canceled.

Based on the above, this rejection is no longer believed to be applicable. Reconsideration, and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully solicited.

V. ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner indicated that Claims 2-5 and 7 were allowable.

VI. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, claims 1, 3-8 and 10-13 are believed to be in condition for allowance and the application ready for issue.

Corresponding action is respectfully solicited.

PTO is authorized to charge any additional fees incurred as a result of the filing hereof or credit any overpayment to our account #02-0900.

Respectfully submitted,

/mark e. tetreault/

Mark E. Tetreault, Esq.
Reg. No. 48,289

Serial No. 10/687,182

BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, Ltd.
101 Dyer Street, 5th Floor
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 273-4446 (tel)
(401) 273-4447 (fax)
met@barjos.com